Case 5:06-cv-02202-JW Document 49 Filed 02/06/07 Page 1 of 5 1 OFFICE OF THE MONTEREY COUNTY COUNSEL CHARLES J. MCKEE (SBN 152458), COUNTY COUNSEL LEROY W. BLANKENSHIP (SBN 065233), ASSISTANT COUNTY COUNSEL 2 EFREN N. IGLESIA (SBN 71309), SENIOR DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL 3 168 W. ALISAL, 3RD FLOOR SALINAS, CA 93901-2680 Telephone: (831) 755-5045 4 Facsimile: (831) 755-5283 5 NOSSAMAN, GUTHNER, KNOX & ELLIOTT, LLP 6 STEPHEN N. ROBERTS (SBN 062538) 50 CALIFORNIA STREET, 34TH FLOOR 7 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111-4799 Telephone: (415) 398-3600 8 Facsimile: (415) 398-2438 Email: montereycase@nossaman.com NOSSAMAN, GUTHNER, KNOX & ELLIOTT, LLP 10 JOHN J. FLYNN III (SBN 076419) 18101 VON KARMAN AVENUE 11 IRVINE, CA 92612-0177 Telephone: (949) 833-7800 Facsimile: (949) 833-7878 12 Email: montereycase@nossaman.com 13 14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 16 SAN JOSE DIVISION 17 18 In re: County of Monterey Initiative Matter NO: C 06-01407 JW NO: C 06-02202 JW 19 and Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Regarding 20 In re: Monterey Referendum Briefing Schedule on Summary Judgment Motions 21 Date: February 27, 2007 Time: 9:00 a.m. 22 Place: Courtroom 8 Judge: Honorable James Ware 23 24 25 26 27 28 211524 1.DOC -1-Case No. C 06-02202 JW

Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Regarding Briefing Schedule on Summary Judgment Motions

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WHEREAS, the four cases subject to this stipulation have been consolidated for purposes of a hearing on summary judgment motions scheduled by the Court for February 27, 2007;

WHEREAS, the four cases consist of two *Initiative* cases (*Madrigal* and *Melendez*) and two *Referendum* cases (*Rangel* and *Rancho San Juan*);

WHEREAS, the Court has ordered that the parties confer for purposes of setting a briefing schedule;

WHEREAS, to limit the multiplicity of briefs, the parties have agreed to combine and present joint briefs by three sides if they may have 35 pages in the Opening Brief, and to limit the number of briefs on each of the three sides to two;

WHEREAS, subsequent to the Court's order setting the hearing for February 27, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Monterey adopted a resolution to place the subject Initiative measure on the June 5, 2007, ballot;

WHEREAS, the plaintiffs in one of the *Initiative* cases, the *Madrigal* case, will be submitting a stipulation and proposed order to the Court dismissing that case;

WHEREAS, the parties to the other *Initiative* case, the *Melendez* case, disagree as to the effect of the County's action in placing the Initiative on the ballot for the proper disposition of the *Melendez* case;

WHEREAS, the parties to the two *Referendum* cases continue to dispute matters on the merits; WHEREAS, as a result of the foregoing there are now three sides to the cases as follows:

- 1. Plaintiffs in the original Rangel case;
- 2. Plaintiffs in the original Melendez and Rancho San Juan cases;
- 3. Monterey County Defendants in all cases;

WHEREAS, further the parties have agreed that simultaneous opening and responsive briefs would be sufficient without the need for replies.

WHEREAS, the parties are cognizant of the short time left after the foregoing events, but wish to move forward on a shortened briefing schedule that would nevertheless give the Court the full two weeks contemplated in the rules after briefing;

NOW THEREFORE the parties stipulate and respectfully request that the Court order:

211524 1.DOC

-2-

Case No. C 06-02202 JW

Case 5:06-cv-02202-JW Document 49 Filed 02/06/07 Page 3 of 5

1	The Opening Brief for each set of parties setting forth their position in the Initiative cases and		
2	moving for cross-summary judgment motions in the Referendum cases will be due on February 7, 2007.		
3	Simultaneous Opposition/Closing Briefs will be due on February 13, 2007.		
4	The Opening Brief may be 35 pages rather than 25, but the Opposition/Closing Briefs will		
5	comply with the page limits in the rules for Opposition briefs.		
6			
7	Dated: February 5, 2007	NOSSAMAN, GUTHNER	, KNOX & ELLIOTT, LLP
8		/\$/	
9		By:STEPHEN N. RO	BERTS
10		Attorneys for Defendants	V THE DOADD OF
11		COUNTY OF MONTERE SUPERVISORS OF THE (ANTHONY ANCHUNDO	COUNTY OF MONTEREY, AND
12	Dated: February 2, 2007 JOAQUIN G. AVILA		
13	Dated. February 2, 2007	/5/	
14		By:JOAQUIN G. AV	TT A
15		Attorneys for Plaintiffs	ILA
16	SABAS RANGEL AND MARIA BUELL		ARIA BUELL
17			
18	Dated: February 2, 2007	STRUMWASSER & WOO	CUED
19	Daiod. 1 oblainy 2, 2007	By:	
20	FREDRIC D. WOOCHER		
21		Attorneys for Plaintiffs RANCHO SAN IIIAN OPI	POSITION COALITION
22	RANCHO SAN JUAN OPPOSITION COALITION, CITIZENS FOR RESPONSIBLE GROWTH, JULIE ENGELL, WILLIAM MELENDEZ, KEN GRAY, JYL LUTES, CAROLYN ANDERSON, AND LANDWATCH MONTEREY COUNTY		
23			
24			
25			
:6			
7	ATTESTATION I, Stephen N. Roberts, am the ECF User whose identification and password are being		
8	211524_1.DOC	-3-	Case No. C 06-02202 JW
	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Regarding Briefing Schedule on Summary Judgment Motions		

Case 5:06-cv-02202-JW Document 49 Filed 02/06/07 Page 4 of 5

used to file this document. In compliance with General Order 45.X.B, I hereby attest that Joaquin G. Avila and Fredric Woocher have concurred in this filing. /S/ Stephen N. Roberts 211524_1.DOC Case No. C 06-02202 JW Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Regarding Briefing Schedule on Summary Judgment Motions

ORDER

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The Opening Brief is due on February 7, 2007;
- 2. Opposition and Closing Briefs will be due on February 13, 2007; and
- 3. The Opening Brief may be 35 pages rather than 25

Dated: 2/6/2007

HOY. JAMES WARE U.S. District Court Judge

211524 1.DOC